

on the same.

Ever faithfully,  
yours,

Wm. H. Cady

Wilmington July 5<sup>th</sup> 1879

My dear Dr. Gray,

From our good Mr. Redfield I have just heard of your escape from the disaster on the E. Tennessee R.R. line. It must have been an awful scene and time for you and dear Mrs. Gray and a providential escape for which I am devoutly thankful. As you say Mrs. Gray is always calm and brave but I cannot help fearing that so shocking an incident might have some effect upon her health though I sincerely hope not; but I shall be glad to hear from you about it.

I returned from Ohio a few days since - found all well. My wife specially desired me to send her regards to you both and congratulations to

Mr. Gray that his letter was so well  
received as to enable her to undertake  
the journey.

Doubtless, however, something  
in the enclosed. I suppose no appeal  
was made and indeed I hope not;  
for I think we have fully done our duty,  
and that there could be no advantage  
in carrying the case on further. I should  
like however to know your views.

The *Cardamine* with auricled or stipular  
petioles resembles in this respect *C. hup-*  
*tensis* (see Hooker's British Flora, *C. Chelidonia*?,  
*C. resedifolia* L., & *C. Eruca*, W., among any  
foreign specimens; but is I suppose quite  
distinct from any of these and certainly  
from all our native species that I know.  
But here is an extract from Redfield; note  
that quite "stuns" me. "There can be little  
doubt that the *Cardamine* is the very plant  
on which Michaux found his *rotundifolia*.  
In the 3<sup>d</sup> vol. of Hooker's Bot. Misc. is a good

figure of it - which shows that it arose  
primarily out of an auricled dusky petiole."

But the description in Michaux's Flora  
applies exclusively to what we know as *C.*  
*rotundifolia* and in no particular agrees  
with the *Ran. M.* plant. But he may  
have "mixed those children up" in his  
Herbarium. So that if *C. Clematitis*, Thunb.  
is one plant that will probably be the name.

The *Diervilla* from Eagle Bluff I  
make out to be only *D. trifida*; and the  
*Saxifraga* is apparently all *S. Canyana*  
which I had before and I am sure with spot-  
ted petals a character which Chapmann as-  
cribes to both it & *S. Caroliniana* which, <sup>latter</sup> I  
have never seen but suppose is distin-  
guished from the other only by the clavate  
filaments - perhaps as you suggested hardly a  
sufficient character to keep them separate.

Some other matters will keep.

Kindest remembrances to Mr. Gray and  
to the other members of the expedition whom